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Introduction
While pirate themes today proliferate in films, toys, video games, cruises, mass-market books and the like, the subject has also attracted a growing number of scholarly works and well-documented mainstream titles. Some of this may be an echoing of – or a reaction to – what the entertainment industries have disseminated. But other factors are helping spur interest in historical piracy (and related topics such as privateering) as an area of study and publication.

One among them is digital technology, which has improved access to previously hard-to-find primary and secondary sources. Another is the continued rise of newer, often-interdisciplinary approaches to the subject, introducing new perspectives and linking the topic to an ever-growing range of factors. Together they encourage research and invite contributions from a variety of perspectives and academic fields.

Digital Data
In the study of historical piracy, where older texts are key, digital scanning technology has vastly increased the number of sources (such as books, newspapers, monographs, etc.) that can be shared, read, collated, and searched. Some historians now carry tablet devices crammed with .pdf files of complete, hitherto hard-to-find works printed before 1923 (hence in the public domain), usually available for free download from services such as Google Books. Large microfilm collections of early English and American books and newspapers have also been ported into searchable online databases, such as those by Readex, Gale Group, Newsbank, ProQuest, the British Library and others; a cursory search of one university library’s holdings turned up almost two dozen such databases relevant to the 1600s-1800s.

Digital technologies have conveyed other benefits. The contents of some “old media” books that reproduce rare source documents on American and European piracy, such as Joel H. Baer’s four-volume British Piracy in the Golden Age – entered the publishing world as high-resolution images that were then digitally “cleaned” to improve legibility. And scholars have also been taking advantage of the enhanced reproduction services of government archives and university libraries. These can usually quickly provide scans of their holdings that are in analog form – such as print or microfilm – for a nominal fee. The ordering process and the delivery of digital files can often be done online.

“New-Old” Sources
In making it easier to gather sources, digital technology may also be helping adjust the status of some standard works. One of these, on which histories of American and European piracy long relied heavily, is The General History of the Robberies and Murders of the Most Notorious Pyrates. Still a classic read, it was first published in 1724 by a still-mysterious figure named Captain Charles Johnson – Daniel Defoe has been among those suggested as the book’s real...
In 1972, it underwent a rigorous analysis by Manuel Schonhorn, who showed that a writer’s license was indeed at work on some of its contents. In so doing, he tracked down some of its author’s evident contemporary sources, such as original newspaper accounts, monographs, trial transcripts, and other texts.

Though such efforts helped alert scholars to these sources, the latter were hard to come by in the analog era, and the General History’s standing as the main body of evidence on its subject has been slow to diminish. But the digital technologies mentioned above have helped make texts such as those Schonhorn identified in 1972 more easily accessible or obtainable. Correspondingly, maritime historians now may rely significantly less on the General History than did their predecessors.

New Approaches and Perspectives
Schonhorn’s analysis of the General History was part of the modern movement toward critical, primary-source conscious scholarship on historical piracy and maritime studies in general. Entries in this vast, ever-expanding library have been increasingly diverse in approach, reflecting broadening geographical interests, and including viewpoints rooted in areas such as (among others) politics and philosophy. Their often-interdisciplinary nature has brought in methodologies, criteria, and interpretative perspectives from a variety of disciplines, and encouraged input from a widening pool of contributors.

Many works also moved away from the often biographical, personality-driven approaches of the past. Historian Marcus Rediker, for example, has approached nautical topics mainly as collective rather than individual undertakings. His research has linked subjects such as piracy and its causes to conditions at sea and on land, and to issues such as class, gender, and racial consciousness. In doing so, he has cited influences from fields such as “linguistics, sociology, economics, anthropology, and especially ethnography.” He wrote in one book that:

The interdisciplinary approach proceeds from the belief that the history of seafaring people can and must be more than a chronicle of admirals, captains, and military battles at sea: It must be made to speak to larger historical problems and processes. The seaman’s international life and labor require an international history, linking the pasts of Britain and America to broad intercultural histories of continental Europe, the Mediterranean, Africa, and the East and West Indies. ...
Similar consciousness – though certainly not agreement on interpretation – has been increasingly at work in studies of historical piracy. And as more academic disciplines have become represented in the area, the geographical scope has ever-widened, and more diverse perspectives have been brought to the topic. The current English-language bibliography is far too large to try to survey here, but the 1980s and 1990s, for example, saw books and journal articles start to appear on topics such as piracy and male sexuality; \footnote{female pirates;} \footnote{and black pirates and sailors.} Some recent books have addressed historical piracy through specialized prisms such as naval/military tactics and economics. \footnote{And while “traditional” pirate biographies still exist, they incorporate far broader subject materials and sources than did many earlier ones.} The same can be said of recent general histories of piracy aimed at mainstream readers. \footnote{The same can be said of recent general histories of piracy aimed at mainstream readers.}

Caution and Conclusion
While digital media and the emergence of newer academic approaches complement each other, and have had positive effects on historical piracy studies, there are caveats to acknowledge. One regarding digital technology is that a hitherto obscure source’s modern reappearance in digital form does not automatically lend it credibility. And even well into the digital era, not all university libraries have access to relevant databases; some classic maritime history titles have been slow to become digitally available; and some digital reproductions lack legibility. \footnote{Additionally, while scanned documents seem today to be in a stable, well-established format, it may be prudent to note that any program meant to store and retrieve data can quickly become obsolete, especially after a few system upgrades. The pace of technology change may have already imperiled some earlier efforts at translating maritime historical data into spreadsheets and other databases.}

Regarding newer approaches to and perspectives on piracy, it is obligatory to note that all scholarship operating at long historical range needs special care. Many studies tend, naturally, to reflect contemporary interests and interpretation; if historical context is lost, complex realities may be obscured. Nevertheless, the developments cited above continue to help infuse studies of historical piracy, and other maritime subjects, with new possibilities regarding sources, perspectives, and ultimately, contributors.
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